Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 LONDON 03101 281524Z

44

ACTION L-02

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 EB-07 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00

INRE-00 ERDA-05 AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 CIEP-01 COME-00

DODE-00 FEAE-00 FPC-01 H-01 INR-07 INT-05 NSAE-00

NSC-05 OMB-01 PM-03 SAM-01 OES-03 SP-02 SS-15 STR-01

TRSE-00 FRB-03 PA-01 PRS-01 OIC-02 IO-10 /096 W ------ 097639

O 281510Z FEB 75

FM AMEMBASSY LONDON

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 8753

INFO AMEMBASSY OSLO IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS IMMEDIATE

USMISSION OECD PARIS IMMEDIATE

CONFIDENTIAL LONDON 03101

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: ENRG

SUBJECT: IEA: IMPLEMENTATION OF NORWAY PARTICIPATION

AGREEMENT

REF: A) OECD PARIS 5083, B) STATE 41768 (NOTAL),

C) STATE 40592

- 1. REFTEL A PROVIDES TEXTS OF CHAIRMAN'S REPORT AND REVISED DRAFT GOVERNING BOARD DECISION ON INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR PARTICIPATION OF NORWAY. SPECIFIC COMMENTS WHICH FOLLOW IN PARA. 3 ARE KEYED TO NUMBERED PARAGRAPHS OF REFTEL A.
- 2. GENERAL COMMENT: NORWEGIANS WANTED QUICK RESOLUTION OF PROBLEMS CONCERNING INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS INASMUCH AS PARLIAMENTARY RATIFICATION PROCESS HAS ALREADY STARTED. THEY WERE UNABLE TO ADOPT DEFINITIVE POSITIONS ON A NUMBER OF IMPORTANT ISSUES HOWEVER, PLEADING INCONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 LONDON 03101 281524Z

SUFFICIENT OPPORTUNITY TO STUDY SECRETARIAT'S PROPOSED

GB DRAFT DECISION. UK, FRG AND CANADIAN DELEGATIONS (JAPAN WAS SILENT OBSERVER) WERE MORE PRONE THAN US TO ACCOMMODATE NORWEGIAN CONCERNS, PARTICULARLY AS TO FULL PARTICIPATION UNDER CHAPTERS V-VIII. UK ASSUMED LOW PROFILE, NOTING IT COULD ACCEPT ALMOST ANY CONSENSUS REACHED ON INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES. CANADIAN DEL WAS WITHOUT INSTRUCTIONS BUT TOOK ACTIVE ROLE IN PRESSING FOR EVEN MORE THAN NORWEGIANS SEEMED PREPARED TO SEEK. IT WAS VOLUNTEERED, HOWEVER, THAT THERE SHOULD NO LONGER BE SERIOUS CONCERN ABOUT NORWEGIAN ASSOCIATION AGREEMENT SETTING A PRECEDENT FOR CANADA.

3. PARA. 2.2 (A) AND (B) OF CHAIRMAN'S REPORT - US PREFERRED POSITION OF SPECIFICALLY AMENDING IEP AGREEMENT TO AFFORD NORWAY RESTRICTED VOTING RIGHTS RECEIVED NO SUPPORT. UK AND OTHERS ARGUED THAT ATTEMPT TO AMEND AGREEMENT MIGHT CAUSE DIFFICULTIES WITH RESPECT TO PARLIAMENTARY APPROVAL OF IEP. UK SUGGESTED ADOPTION OF PROTOCOL TO NORWEGIAN ASSOCIATION AGREEMENT BUT CONSENSUS REACHED FAVORING GOVERNING BOARD DECISION SETTING FORTH ELEMENTS OF INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS TOGETHER WITH, AS SUGGESTED BY NORWEGIAN DEL, EXCHANGE OF NOTES OR LETTERS.

PARA 3 (A) OF CHAIRMAN'S REPORT AND PARA. 1 OF DRAFT DECISION - STRONG SENTIMENT OF ALL OTHER DELEGATIONS WAS TO AFFORD NORWAY INSTITUTIONAL STATUS AS CLOSELY AS POSSIBLE APPROXIMATING THAT OF FULL PARTICIPANT IN LINE WITH ARTICLES 3 AND 4 OF ASSOCIATION AGREEMENT. PARA 3 (B) OF CHAIRMAN'S REPORT AND ALTERNATIVES A-C, PARA. 2 OF DRAFT DECISION - MOST OTHER DELEGATIONS TENDED TO FAVOR NORWAY VOTING AS IF IT WERE A FULL PARTICIPANT ON ALL DECISIONS REQUIRING A MAJORITY UNDER CHAPTERS V-X AND ON UNANIMOUS DECISIONS RELATING TO BUDGET. HOWEVER. CANADIAN DEL (ACTING WITHOUT INSTRUCTIONS) ARGUED NORWAY'S VOTE SHOULD BE COUNTED ON ALL DECISIONS REQUIRIN UNANIMITY AS WELL. CHAIRMAN PROPOSED FINAL DECISION BE MADE BY GOVERNING BOARD ON BASIS OF ALTERNATIVES FORMULA SET FORTH. IN PRIVATE CORRIDOR CONVERSATION, NORWEGIAN DEL (AMBASSADOR KRISTIANSEN) SUGGESTED THAT FOR "OPTICAL" REASONS IT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR NORWEGIAN VOTING IN MA-CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 LONDON 03101 281524Z

JORITY DECISIONS TO BE COUNTED IN OVERALL VOTE CALCULATION EVEN IF UNIMPORTANT AS PRACTICAL MATTER IN AFFECTING OUTCOME.

PARA 3 (D) OF CHAIRMAN'S REPORT AND PARAS. 3 AND 4 OF DRAFT DECISION - STRONG US LINE AGAINST NORWEGIAN VOTING UNDER CHAPTERS I-IV WAS NEITHER DIRECTLY SUPPORTED NOR OPPOSED BY OTHER DELS WHO WAITED (IN VAIN) FOR NORWEGIANS TO REGISTER A PREFERENCE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THEY WANTED

ANY VOTING RIGHTS AND, IF SO, UNDER WHICH SPECIFIC ARTICLES. AD HOC GROUP FINALLY ADOPTED CHAIRMAN'S FORMULATION POSTPONING DECISIONS ON INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS UNDER CHAPTERS I-IV UNTIL SUCH TIME AS EXACT NATURE OF NORWEGIAN PARTICIPATION IN EMERGENCY MEASURES IS KNOWN. SPIERS

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: POLICIES, TEXT, RESOLUTIONS, MEETING REPORTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 28 FEB 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ElyME
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975I ONDON03101

Document Number: 1975LONDON03101
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750071-0418 From: LONDON

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t1975023/aaaaacld.tel Line Count: 121 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION L Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 OECD PARIS 5083, 75 STATE 41768
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ElyME

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 22 APR 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <22 SEP 2003 by ElyME>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: IEA: IMPLEMENTATION OF NORWAY PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT

TAGS: ENRG, NO, IEA
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006